
SEARCH FOR DOUBLE GAMOW-TELLER RESONANCE VIA HEAVY-ION DOUBLE CHARGE EXCHANGE REACTION

Motonobu Takaki, Center for Nuclear Study, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

M. Takaki¹, T. Uesaka², S. Shimoura¹, N. Aoi³, M. Dozono¹, T. Furuno⁴, S. Gotanda⁵, T. Hashimoto³, C. Iwamoto³,
Y. Kanaya⁵, T. Kawabata⁴, M. Kobayashi¹, Y. Kubota¹, Y. Maeda⁵, M. Matsushita¹, S. Michimasa¹, E. Milman², S. Ota¹,
M. Sasano², L. Stuhl², A. Tamii³, M. Tsumura⁴, R. Yokoyama¹ and J. Zenihiro²

1 Center for Nuclear Study, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

2 RIKEN Nishina Center, Saitama, Japan

3 Research Center for Nuclear Physics, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan

4 Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

5 Department of Applied physics, Miyazaki University, Miyazaki, Japan

Among all two-phonon excitation modes, a Double Gamow-Teller Resonance (DGTR) is a missing piece for better understanding of two-phonon states in terms of the nuclear spin-dependent correlations. Possible existence of the DGTR was first proposed by Auerbach, Zamick, and Zheng in 1989 [1]. A basic question concerning the DGTR is that if the DGTR is a simple superposition of GTRs. According to conclusive results of pion double charge exchange reaction studies, double isobaric analogue resonances and double giant dipole resonances are the simple superposition [2]. However, the nuclear response can be anharmonic and we can expect occurrence of anharmonicity, especially when the spin-degrees of freedom play a role. Therefore, the experimental observation of the DGTR provides us with a unique opportunity to investigate the effect.

Heavy-ion double-charge exchange (HIDCX) reactions are the most promising spectroscopic tools for DGTRs. It can induce two-phonon excitations with spin and isospin transfer by two units [3]. In spite of the potential of HIDCX reactions as probes to DGTRs, there have not been many experiments with the reactions. The reason is that it is difficult to find a reaction with significant DGT strengths and a clear event identification capability. We have succeeded in inventing a new experimental method based on the ($^{12}\text{C}, ^{12}\text{Be}(0^+)$) reaction that is free from the difficulties. The idea has been conceived through our previous double charge exchange studies with the $^{12}\text{C}(^{18}\text{O}, ^{18}\text{Ne})^{12}\text{Be}$ reaction. In the experiment, we found that this reaction has relatively large cross section for the second 0^+ state of ^{12}Be at 0 degree. This is because the $^{12}\text{Be}(0^+_{2})$ state in the final state is dominated by $0\hbar\omega$ configuration as well as the initial $^{12}\text{C}(0^+_{\text{g.s.}})$ and intermediate $^{12}\text{B}(1^+_{\text{g.s.}})$ states. Furthermore, this reaction bears an additional strong point: identification of the final state in the ejectile (^{12}Be) is possible by detecting the delayed γ -ray emitted from the $^{12}\text{Be}(0^+_{2})$ state. The $^{12}\text{Be}(0^+_{2})$ state is a long-life isomer state and has a lifetime of 331 ns [4].

To investigate the DGTR in ^{48}Ti , we performed the $^{48}\text{Ca}(^{12}\text{C}, ^{12}\text{Be}(0^+_{2}))$ reaction experiment at Research Center for Nuclear Study (RCNP), Osaka University. The 100A MeV ^{12}C beam bombarded a ^{48}Ca -enriched target with the areal density of 10 mg/cm². The outgoing particles were momentum-analyzed with the high-resolution magnetic spectrometer Grand Raiden. Excitation energies of residual nuclei were measured with a missing mass method. The momentum-analyzed ^{12}Be was stopped in one plastic scintillator at a focal plane and detected γ -rays from $^{12}\text{Be}(0^+_{2})$ state with NaI scintillators.

At present, we succeed in identifications of the outgoing ^{12}Be particles and its characteristic γ -rays. Excitation energy spectra of ^{48}Ti is going to be obtained. In the conference, the results of the $^{12}\text{C}(^{18}\text{O}, ^{18}\text{Ne})^{12}\text{Be}$ reaction and the DGTR search experiments will be reported.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Auerbach, L. Zamick, and D. Zheng, *Annals of Physics* **192**, 77 (1989).
- [2] PH. Chomaz and N.Frascaria, *Physics Reports* **252**, 275 (1995).
- [3] J. Blomgren et al., *Physics Letters B* **362**, 34 (1995).
- [4] S. Shimoura et al., *Physics Letters B* **654**, 87 (2007) and references therein.